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Punishment of Juniors (V) in HMS GANGES.

Definition Council approved the introduction of a time of up to 4 days pay into the Maker and Artificer Apprentice Punishment Scales; to be known as No 4a.
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H.M.S. GANGES,
Shelley Gate,
Ipswich,
Suffolk.
IP5 1PT
Telephone: Shelley 244.


The Flag Officer, Medway.

NOT

Achieving Goals

Reference: A. RM 0707, Junior Training Instructions.
B. QMBF Article 1966A.

Article 0703 of reference A details the punishments that may be awarded to Juniors whilst at a Juniors Training Establishment.

2. Fines, as applicable to the adult rating, are not included in this list and in view of the many advantages of this form of punishment approval is requested for Juniors to be punished by fine. Particularly the new rates of pay for Juniors as promulgated in the Military Salary scale the introduction of this punishment appropriate.

3. The current regulations concerning fines as detailed in reference B are applicable to Juniors.
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(M.I. BUTTON)
CAPTAIN.
PLEASE DO NOT ATTEMPT TO COPY THIS PAGE

The Flag Officer, Yedway.


B. BR 697.

1. Further to reference A, it is noted that the proposed punishment of a fine for Junior Star rated E11 for an offence additional to the present punishment allowed by reference B, Chapter 7.

2. The punishment of Stoppage of Pocket Money (No. 11A) is a token punishment with a short term effect and is applicable only to minor offences. The pocket money that is stopped is retained as a credit on the ledger until the Junior leaves HMS GANGES. At the current rate of pay the following applies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net. Port. Rate</th>
<th>Port. Pocket Money</th>
<th>Credit retained on ledger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second Class Junior</td>
<td>£8. 18. 2</td>
<td>£7. 0. 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Class Junior</td>
<td>£9. 0. 0</td>
<td>£1. 0. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Class Star Junior</td>
<td>£10. 0. 10</td>
<td>£10. 0. 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order that Juniors whose pocket money has been stopped may have enough money for essentials, Juniors 2nd Class are paid a minimum of £2 per fortnight and Juniors 1st Class and 1st Class Star are paid £3. The amounts of 10/- and 20/- specified in Article 11A of Reference B are unrealistic in year 1970.

3. The proposed punishment of a fine is required for some serious offences. It is considered that such a step is not inappropriate because of the recent increases in pay.

Thank you (N.J. BUTCHER)
CAPTAIN.
39/18

NOT

3 May 1970

The Commander-in-Chief
Naval Home Command

PUBLICATION OF NOTICE

1. Forwarded, including copy, to Captain HMS GANGES' proposal.

2. It is recommended that the rules for fines should follow those for the fining of other ratings, subject to the maximum loss of pay of 14 days. Existing minimum rates of pocket money, as quoted in paragraph 2 of Enclosure 2, should remain unaltered.
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Captain
for Rear Admiral

Enclosures:
1. GANGES 700/1 of 29 Nov 70
2. GANGES 700/1 of 30 Nov 70

Copy to:
Commanding Officer HMS GANGES
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F/7000/10
Deputy Under-Secretary of
State (Navy) 27 May 70

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Forwarded for favourable consideration, concurring with
paragraph 2 of the Enclosure.

D W SHIELDS
Captain RN
First Officer

Enclosure: 35/16 of 8 May 70 and
enclosure thereto.

Copy to:
Flag Officer
Captain HRS CANDES
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for HEAD OF NAVAL LAW DIVISION
Tel: 01-930-9000 Ext: 525

THANK YOU

June 1970
NOT

The apprentices in Establishment have been asked for their views about the introduction of 4A punishment (long) into B12 91, and we are all in favour.

DNIT strongly recommends that the finding of arbitrators be supported by a workable method.

APP.

DNIT

27-7-70
Minutes and Brief Details of Enclosures

CNF and Second Sea Lord

1. Commander in Chief Naval Home Offices has given his support to a proposal from HMS COVERGE that the present rate of a rise of up to 1½ days' pay should be added to the scale of punishments applicable to Junior Officers at a Juniors Training Establishment. This proposal is supported by NL and DMSC. DNET has obtained the views of the Apprentice Training Establishments and agrees that the punishment should also be added to the scale applied to Artificer Apprentices in these Establishments.

2. The fine has proved a flexible and most useful punishment since its introduction in 1967 and has since been introduced into the WRNS and QARNNS scale with a limit of up to 1½ days' pay. The new rates of pay for Juniors make the punishment appropriate to them and it is considered that it will be suitable for dealing with more serious offences for which the minor level of Stoppage of Pocket Money is insufficient. It is intended that the rules for fixing Juniors should follow those for adult ratings, but that the existing minimum rates of pocket money should still apply.

3. Submitted for approval to introduce the punishment of a rise of up to 1½ days' pay into the punishment scales for Juniors in Junior Training Establishments and Artificer Apprentices in Apprentice Training Establishments.

4 August 1970
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For HEAD OF NAVAL LAW DIVISION
Tel: 01-950-9000 Ext 925

Ref/31
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DGST(N)
Second Sea Lord

I think this matter, which is an extension of the Punishment Code, should be settled by the Second Sea Lord himself on return.

2. The Command proposal is agree within the Department.

3. I have had enquiries made about the position of the other two Services and I have been advised that fines are imposed on boys in both Services, although no special scale is laid down. We would not, however, be getting out of step if we were to endorse the Command proposal now.

4. Although not covered by the new Services Discipline Bill the question of minor punishments may well be looked at by the Select Committee concerned with the Bill. It might be argued that in these circumstances it would be undesirable to postpone introducing fines for a year or two. If we were to do this, however, it would become quite clear that we are at present out of step with the other two Services and this might result in pressure on them to drop their fines.

5. On balance, I think it would be reasonable to introduce fines now notwithstanding the fact that the matter might have to be reconsidered by 1972.

6. DGST(N) has been consulted and agrees.
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Before this paper is P.A.'d, please note that BR.01 and BR.697 need an amendment.

For Head of NJ:

(0413 * 575)

29/10/70.

Note

(intaglio)

(intaglio)
PLEASE

FROM: C3h(AD)
Room 1247
Empress State Building
Lillie Road
London, SW6 1TR

DATE: 26 Jan. 72

DO

TEL: 01-385 1244 Ext 3077

TIME EXPIRY OF DST (SH) 12367

(as amended by ........................................)

Title: ........................................................

1. This Defence Council Instruction will be time-expired on 23 Feb. 1972.

This advance reminder is being sent now to allow time for consideration whether this Instruction:

a. needs to be re-issued;

b. is now applicable in a different series;

c. is appropriate to permanent regulations.

2. It is requested that the form below be completed and returned to C3h(AD) within one month.

TO: C3h(AD)
Room 1247
Empress State Building
Lillie Road
London, SW6 1TR

TIME EXPIRY OF DST (SH) 12367

(Delute all but one category.)

This Instruction:

I. has served its purpose, and is to be allowed to expire, as no longer appropriate to written instruction.

II. has been incorporated in the relevant permanent publication(s), namely: ................................ Change ............................... Refer...

III. has been superseded by VEX.

IV. needs to be re-issued...

(a) with unaltered text 
(b) as attached draft 

(A completed DST-(SH) form is to be attached to the draft as usual.)

THANK YOU

Signed: ...........................................................
SERVICE PERSONNEL

TO

1. The Defence Council has approved the introduction of a fine of up to 14 days' pay into the Junior and Artificer Apprentice punishment scales at BR 697 and 91 respectively. The punishment will be listed as No 48 and it will be administered in accordance with QRRN, Article 1968A in so far as the Article applies to juniors and artificer apprentices.

2. BR 91 and ZR 697 will be amended to read:
(QRRN)
(BR 91 and 697)
PLEASE
CATEGORY OF D.C.Is.

PART I
ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL

PART II
SERVICE D.C. N.S.

PART III
OPERATIONAL AND TRAINING

PART IV
EQUIPMENT, STORES AND SERVICING

PART V
BOOKS, CORRESPONDENCE, FORMS AND STATIONERY

PART VI
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL
(issued under a separate series or Nos. prefixed CIV.)

PART VII
CONFIDENTIAL SERIES
(issued under a separate series of Nos. prefixed CONF. or L. CONF. as appropriate.)
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DEFENCE COUNCIL

(i) Defence Council Instructions are not permanent works of reference and their life is limited to three years. Before the expiration of this period each D.C.I. (R.N.) must be reviewed unless it can be marked, at the date of origin, for discard without review. It is therefore essential that the section headed "Review" (overled) be completed in each case.

(ii) Permanent Instructions wherever possible should be issued in the form of amendments to the appropriate regulations or handbooks. When necessary a brief explanatory D.C.I. (R.N.) referring to the amendments may be issued concurrently but it should be cancelled at an early date.

(iii) Amending Instruction.—A previous Instruction should be consolidated in the draft of a later one on the same subject; unless the previous Instruction is time-expired it should be cancelled by a footnote. In drafting revised or consolidated Instructions the new matter should be indicated by a side ruling for complete new paragraphs and an underlining for old paragraphs. A small amendment to an Instruction may be treated exceptionally and issued as such.

(iv) References.—The text of the draft Instruction should only refer to extant A.F.O., D.C.Is., or Books, and these references should be quoted at the end of the draft as a footnote. If it is considered essential, as an aid to clarity, to quote the titles/subject matter of such references in the text, it will not be necessary to repeat the information in the footnote.

(v) Notice Board Issue.—This consists of a list of abbreviations of Descriptions of Instructions which are of general interest to the Fleet. Drafts of such Instructions may be accompanied by a suitable entry for inclusion in the Notice Board Issue.

(vi) Foreign Navies Edition is issued to Belgium, Denmark, France, Greece, Holland, Irish Republic, Italy, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Turkey and W. Germany. The draft instruction must in all cases be submitted to D.N.S.Y. for prior approval before issue to these countries can be made.

(vii) Separate Issues.—D.C.Is. (R.N.) extending beyond a sentence or short paragraph may be printed separately where necessary and half-scale distribution may be made where trial requirements.

(viii) Index References.—Index references should as far as possible accord with those of any previous Instruction (or A.F.O.) on the same subject and should be indicated on the index slip in the following manner:—

At (1).—The main subject followed by a subsidiary description.

At (2) and if necessary 
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The Commander-in-Chief Naval Home Command's letter No 9/70 dated 27 May 1970 (not to all copy addresses)

I am directed to inform you that the Admiralty Board have approved the introduction of a fine of a maximum of 14 days pay into the punishment scale for Juniors. They have also approved its introduction into the scale for Artificer Apprentices. This new penalty will be known as No 4B in both scales and will be promulgated shortly in a DCI(EN). EAs 91 and 697 will be amended in due course.

I am, Sir
Your obedient Servant

Copies to:
The Flag Officer, Medway
The Captain, HMS GANDERS
The Captain, HMS FISHER
The Captain, HMS CALDING
The Captain, HMS COLLINGWOOD
The Captain, HMS BANDER

http://www.godfreydykes.info
1. The Defence Council have approved the introduction of a fine of up to 14 days' pay into the Defence Artificers Apprentice punishment scales in BR 697 and 91 respectively. The punishment will be listed as No 42 and it will be administered in accordance with QQMN 1968A in so far as the article applies to artificers and artificer apprentices.

2. BR 91 and BR 697 will be amended in due course.
DO
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(Sgd. L. Turner)
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DISCIPLINE - JUNIORS AND ARTIFICER APPRENTICES - INTRODUCTION OF THE PUNISHMENT OF A FINE OF UP TO 14 DAYS' PAY
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GMD and First Sea Lord

WS. of F(M)

1. The enclosed regulations relating to the Naval Service Training (Junior Artificers') Training Establishments, Regulations 1966, are submitted for signature by the members of the Board of Council, MC and CO to sign the draft.

2. These amendments have been approved by the Admiralty Board.

3 September 1970

NEW NAVAL LAW DIVISION

E 549 000 Eq 253
The Defence Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on them by Section 49 of the Royal Services Act, 1937 and of all other enabling powers, hereby make the following Regulations:

1. The Regulations set out in the appendices to the Naval Summary Trial (Junior and Artificers’ Training Establishments) Regulations, 1936 shall be amended as hereunder appears:

2. In clause 6 of Article 40 of the Artificers’ Apprentices Regulations, there shall be inserted—

"(b) ..... Fine not exceeding 14 days’ pay."

3. In Article 0703 of the Junior Regulations there shall be inserted—

"(b) ..... Fine not exceeding 14 days’ pay."

4. The Interpretation Act 1889 shall apply to the Interpretation of these Regulations as it applies to an Act of Parliament.

5. These Regulations may be cited as the Naval Summary Trial (Junior and Artificers’ Training Establishments) (Amendment) Regulations, 1970.

(Sgd. Peter Kincaid)

Under Secretary of State for the Royal Navy

(Sgd. P. Hill – Newton)

PAGE 5 of the Naval Staff and Naval Sea Lord

Dated this 30th day of September, 1970

THANK YOU